



August 30, 2002

Mr. Mark E. Dempsey
Assistant City Attorney
City of Garland
P.O. Box 469002
Garland, Texas 75046-9002

OR2002-4891

Dear Mr. Dempsey:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 167899.

The Garland Police Department (the "department") received a request for "reports or calls for service" made from a specified address from 1997 to the present. You inform us that you have provided most of the responsive information to the requestor, but you claim that certain information you have highlighted is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy and sections 58.007 and 261.201 of the Family Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Section 261.201(a) of the Family Code provides as follows:

(a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

In this case, the documents at issue are not files, reports, records, communications, or working papers used or developed in an investigation under chapter 261 or in providing services as a result of an investigation. Moreover, the highlighted portion of the documents does not identify any individual child for purposes of section 261.201. *See* Fam. Code § 101.003(a) (defining “child”). Therefore, we determine that the department may not withhold any portion of the information from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code.

Next, you assert that a portion of the highlighted information is confidential under section 58.007 of the Family Code. The relevant language of section 58.007(c) reads as follows:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child and information stored, by electronic means or otherwise, concerning the child from which a record or file could be generated may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

- (1) if maintained on paper or microfilm, kept separate from adult files and records;
- (2) if maintained electronically in the same computer system as records or files relating to adults, be accessible under controls that are separate and distinct from controls to access electronic data concerning adults; and
- (3) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapter B.

Section 58.007(c) applies to records of juvenile conduct that occurred on or after September 1, 1997. In this case, the documents at issue do not identify any particular juvenile individual engaged in delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision. *See* Fam. Code § 51.03 (defining “delinquent conduct” and “conduct indicating a need for supervision”). Therefore, we have no basis to determine that any portion of the highlighted information is made confidential under section 58.007 of the Family Code. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the highlighted information under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 58.007 of the Family Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court specifically held that information that relates to an attempted suicide is excepted from public disclosure pursuant to common-law privacy in conjunction with the statutory predecessor to section 552.101 of the Government Code. *Id.* at 683. We note that the common-law right of privacy protects the privacy of individual persons. See Open Records Decision No. 432 (1985). You have highlighted a portion of the information that refers to a call for service regarding an attempted suicide. Upon review, however, we note that the information does not refer to any individual person by name and does not contain other information that in any way identifies any particular person. Thus, we determine that the department may not withhold any portion of the highlighted information under section 552.101 in conjunction with the common-law right to privacy. As you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, we conclude that the department must release the requested information to the requestor in its entirety.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



David R. Saldivar
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DRS/seg

Ref: ID# 167899

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. DeAnna Angstead
5541 Valley Mills Drive
Garland, Texas 75043
(w/o enclosures)